

# **EXHIBIT A**

**In Support of**

**Corrected Motion for Leave to Amend to Add  
DDM**

**Amending Plaintiffs Seeking Leave to Add the Discount Drug Mart Defendants**

Case Number	Case Caption	Plaintiff	State	Market Share 2006-2019 - Doseage Units	Market Share 2006-2019 - MME	Signed by
1:17-op-45001-DAP	City of Parma v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Parma City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-45001-DAP	Local 404 Teamsters Health Service and Insurance Plan v. Purdue Pharma	Local 404 Teamsters Health Service and Insurance Plan	Massachusetts	*	*	/s/ Frank Schirripa
1:18-op-45013-DAP	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan	New York	*	*	/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser
1:18-op-45032-DAP	County of Lake v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Lake County	Ohio	5.63%	4.29%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-45050-DAP	County of Ashtabula v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Ashtabula County	Ohio	12.34%	10.89%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-45057-DAP	MSPA Claims 1, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	MAO-MSO Recovery II, LLC	Ohio	*	*	/s/ Janpaul Portal
1:18-op-45057-DAP	MSPA Claims 1, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	MSP Recovery Claims, Series LLC	Ohio	*	*	/s/ Janpaul Portal
1:18-op-45057-DAP	MSPA Claims 1, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	MSPA Claims 1, LLC	Ohio	*	*	/s/ Janpaul Portal
1:18-op-45080-DAP	City of Elyria v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Elyria City	Ohio	25.91%	33.22%	/s/ Brian K. Balser
1:18-op-45240-DAP	City Of Lakewood v. Purdue Pharma L P et al	Lakewood City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ James A. Lowe
1:18-op-45330-DAP	City of Broadview Heights v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Broadview Heights City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-45380-DAP	City of Mansfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Mansfield City	Ohio	8.78%	7.45%	/s/ Leslie O. Murray
1:18-op-45393-DAP	Elliott County Fiscal Court v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	Elliott County	Kentucky	26.36%	12.73%	/s/ Peter J. Mougey
1:18-op-45427-DAP	City of North Royalton v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al	North Royalton City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45431-DAP	City of Huron v. Cardinal Health Inc. et al	Huron City	Ohio	26.58%	25.22%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45448-DAP	City of East Cleveland, Ohio v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	East Cleveland City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45449-DAP	Village of Newburgh Heights, Ohio v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	Newburgh Heights Village	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45450-DAP	Village of Brooklyn Heights v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	Brooklyn Heights Village	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45635-DAP	City of Mayfield Heights v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	Mayfield Heights City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ William D. Mason JR.
1:18-op-45636-DAP	City of Lyndhurst v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	Lyndhurst City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45637-DAP	City of Wickliffe v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	Wickliffe City	Ohio	5.63%	4.29%	/s/ William D. Mason Jr.
1:18-op-45773-DAP	City of Parma Heights v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Parma Heights City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-45788-DAP	City of Sandusky v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Sandusky City	Ohio	26.58%	25.22%	/s/ Leslie O. Murray
1:18-op-45899-DAP	City of Springfield, Missouri v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al	Springfield City	Missouri	5.29%	6.48%	/s/ James Young
1:18-op-45999-DAP	City of Garfield Heights v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Garfield Heights City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-46012-DAP	City of North Olmsted v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	North Olmsted City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-46013-DAP	City of Euclid v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Euclid City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks

\* The market share has not been included here because both these Amending Plaintiffs are asserting national, classwide RICO claims against this defendant based its participation in a RICO enterprise through an ongoing pattern of violative acts, evidenced by a number of factors including a greater than 5% market share in a multiplicity of jurisdictions.

**Amending Plaintiffs Seeking Leave to Add the Discount Drug Mart Defendants**

Case Number	Case Caption	Plaintiff	State	Market Share 2006-2019 - Doseage Units	Market Share 2006-2019 - MME	Signed by
1:18-op-46014-DAP	City of Olmsted Falls v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Olmsted Falls City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-46035-DAP	Township of Painesville v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Painesville Township	Ohio	5.63%	4.29%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-46111-DAP	City of Strongsville v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Strongsville City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-46299-DAP	Warrensville Heights, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Warrensville Heights city	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks
1:18-op-46351-DAP	City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Norwalk city	Ohio	22.17%	16.86%	/s/ Leslie O. Murray
1:19-op-45413-DAP	City of Seven Hills, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Seven Hills City	Ohio	6.04%	4.77%	/s/ Shayna E. Sacks